

Iron Transport Capacity in the Serum of Fowl

Iron transport in the serum of this species offers a different behavior from mammal serum, since the *in vitro* capacity is higher than theoretical estimate (3). Our working hypothesis, suggested in the first studies (4) is that the presence of conalbumin in the serum of birds would be an auxiliary mechanism of transferrin.

In this present paper we are studying the variation of the transport capacity in one batch of cocks and hens at different times. In each specimen, the serum iron has been determined along with the total iron binding capacity according to RAMSAY'S methods (5, 6), using 0.5 ml of plasma and taking the readings in microcells of a *Spectronic 20* photocolormeter. Likewise, in each serum, the unsaturated iron binding capacity has been determined according to BOTHWELL'S *et al.* method (1) with the use of Fe^{59} , having adjusted the technique to a plasma volume of 0.25 ml.

The *in vitro* studies have been performed by adding to 0.25 ml of plasma increasing amounts of a labeled iron solution (0.05; 0.1; 0.15; 0.2 ml) equivalent to iron additions of 62, 125, 250, 375 and 500 $\mu\text{g}/100$ ml plasma. The final volumen is filled up with distilled water. The labeled iron mother solution was obtained according to WALLENIS and WASCHEWSKY (8) in such a way that each 0.2 ml contain 1.25 μg Fe.

The plasma sample and the labeled iron solution are left in contact for 15 minutes. After this period 2 ml of a saturated iron free ammonium sulphate solution are added and the proteins are left to precipitate for 24 hours. The mixture is filtered and

1 ml of the filtrate is taken and the radioactivity is measured with a well type scintillation counter. The counts per minute obtained from each specimen are compared daily with the radioactivity of 1 ml of a standard prepared with 0.2 ml radioactive iron solution (equivalent to 500 $\mu\text{g}/100$ ml) and 2.05 ml of distilled water. The number of counts of the standard has varied in different preparations between 2500 and 4000 c.p.m.

The studies on the variation of serum iron (SI), total iron binding capacity (TIBC), unsaturated iron binding capacity (UIBC) and the *in vitro* transport capacity for iron overloads have been performed on a bath of 5 males and 24 hens of the same age (about 4 months at the beginning of the experiment). Blood samples were taken approximately once a month over a period of 5 months. Within this period the hens had started their laying period and in this way it was possible to follow the changes occurring in the serum iron in the same specimen. One again it has been possible to see how the serum iron in laying hens increases considerably just as the TIBC values also increases, albeit more slowly and without bearing any proportion to the serum iron (Table I). With Ramsay's methods, there is a repetition of the incongruency appreciated in other papers in that the serum iron produces higher values than TIBC ones (3, 4). The UIBC values, according to the method of BOTHWELL *et al.* (1) gives positives values in laying hens whilst they are nil according to the data obtained with RAMSAY'S methods (5, 6) or with

TABLE I

Serum iron (SI), total iron binding capacity (TIBC), unsaturated iron binding capacity (UIBC) and data *in vitro* fixation of iron in the serum of laying (L) and non-laying hens (NL) and cocks. The data correspond of media values with the standard deviation. In parenthesis number of specimens.

Lot	SI μg Iron (%)	TIBC μg Iron (%)	UIBC* μg Iron (%)	μg free Iron/100 ml. serum				
				62	μg Iron added/100 ml. serum			
					125	250	375	500
Hens**								
I NL (24)	143 ± 53	—	102 ± 24	26 ± 14	71 ± 21	176 ± 28	283 ± 25	377 ± 36
II NL (17)	196 ± 65	221 ± 99	61 ± 18	46 ± 29	101 ± 37	173 ± 35	242 ± 41	327 ± 39
III NL (13)	188 ± 87	201 ± 64	73 ± 18	26 ± 20	70 ± 20	148 ± 17	210 ± 35	274 ± 40
III L (7)	549 ± 155	535 ± 53	62 ± 18	24 ± 12	54 ± 19	129 ± 37	185 ± 57	248 ± 55
IV L (16)	674 ± 136	360 ± 87	39 ± 12	29 ± 9	63 ± 16	104 ± 22	142 ± 24	189 ± 31
V L (15)	601 ± 167	402 ± 68	172 ± 41	9 ± 7	30 ± 6	57 ± 10	90 ± 23	125 ± 15
VI L (7)	532 ± 198	352 ± 71	67 ± 36	2 ± 1	8 ± 5	19 ± 6	38 ± 9	65 ± 13
Cocks								
I (5)	130 ± 20	—	113 ± 35	24 ± 11	60 ± 10	174 ± 37	275 ± 14	375 ± 16
II (4)	271 ± 63	289 ± 39	56 ± 15	29 ± 16	75 ± 18	163 ± 46	256 ± 60	332 ± 65
III (4)	197 ± 33	275 ± 29	21 ± 10	23 ± 9	64 ± 18	119 ± 6	183 ± 23	237 ± 33
IV (4)	266 ± 79	343 ± 54	140 ± 11	16 ± 0	35 ± 2	58 ± 9	84 ± 10	117 ± 14

* Data obtained following Bothwells's method.

** Blood extraction date. Hens: I, 22th March; II, 5th April; III, 25th April; IV, 15th May; V, 6th June; VI, 22th June. Cocks: I, 22th March; II, 25th April; III, 15th May; IV, 6th June.

other photocolometric or spectrophotometric direct techniques (7).

The *in vitro* values appear higher in each lot of birds as correspond to its UIBC data. We think that the protein precipitation with ammonium sulphate could split some radioactive iron bound to the protein.

There is an obvious increase in the serum fixation capacity *in vitro* in hens, when on the other hand, the following is observed: a) a slow increase in the TIBC values; b) oscillation of the UIBC values around a low average value or a tendency to give nil values, according to the evaluation method used; and, c) a considerable increase in the serum iron. In cocks some results are observed which are parallel to the hens.

The age seems to be a decisive factor in the variation of the serum fixation capacity, although in terms of age equality, it is always lower in the male birds, as also in hens which are not in laying in comparison with laying birds.

It has been appreciated in this species (2) that the conalbumin content in the serum increases with the age and in the hens is higher, specially during the laying period.

It is hoped that the *in vivo* experiments currently in progress with Fe^{59} will show us the differential physiological charac-

teristics of the iron metabolism between sexes and the laying state.

* * *

This work was supported by the *Fomento de la Investigación en la Universidad* (Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia).

References

1. BOTHWELL, T. H., JACOBS, P. and KAMENNER, R.: *South African J. Med. Sci.*, **24**, 93, 1959.
2. MARTÍN-MATEO, M.^a C. and PLANAS, J.: *R. esp. Fisiol.*, **21**, 1, 1965.
3. PLANAS, J.: *Nature*, **215**, 289, 1967.
4. PLANAS, J., CASTRO, S. and RECIO, J. M.: *Nature*, **189**, 668, 1961.
5. RAMSAY, W. N. M.: *Clin. Chim. Acta*, **2**, 214, 1957.
6. RAMSAY, W. N. M.: *Clin. Chim. Acta*, **2**, 221, 1957.
7. RODRÍGUEZ, R. and PLANAS, J.: *R. esp. Fisiol.*, **20**, 83, 1964.
8. WALLENUS, G. and WASCHEWSKY, H. J.: *Acta Soc. Med. Uppsala*, **68**, 141, 1963.

J. PLANAS
J. BALASCH

Laboratory of Animal Physiology
Faculty of Sciences
University of Barcelona
Barcelona (Spain)

(Received for publication, January 4, 1969)

